

COMPARISON OF CURRENT PROPOSALS ON SDGs

Simon Hoiberg Olsen

Senior Policy Researcher

Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES)

IRF2015

Independent Research Forum on a
Post-2015 Sustainable Development Agenda

Structure

Part 1: Synthesis Analysis compares four proposed frameworks as a whole in terms of:

- The conceptual framework underpinning each proposal
- Major similarities and differences in their approach to defining a goal framework
- How the proposals address the cross-cutting issues of inequality, resilience and sustainable production and consumption
- Some key questions to consider going forward

Structure

Part 2: Goal Area Analysis examines how the frameworks address goal areas that could feature in the post-2015 agenda:

- Education
- Climate/Energy
- Environmental Sustainability
- Food Security
- Gender
- Governance
- Health
- Income Poverty and Income Inequality
- Sustainable and Inclusive Economic Growth
- Water
- Cities and Settlements

Organising Principles of Goal Frameworks

HLP	SDSN	UNTT	ASEF-IISD-IGES and Partners
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leave <i>no one</i> behind • Put sustainable development at the core • Transform economies for inclusive growth • Build peace and effective, open and accountable public institutions • Forge a new global partnership 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Right to development for every country • Human rights and social inclusion • Convergence of living standards across countries • Shared opportunities and responsibilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Narrative on transformative change; • Fundamental principles: Human rights; Equality; Sustainability; • Four dimensions of sustainability: Economic, social, environmental - <i>and peace</i>; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ultimate ends: Human and Planetary Well-being; • Intermediate ends: Human capital and social capital; • Intermediate means: Built capital and human capital; • Ultimate means: Creation and maintenance of natural capital

Goal Framework

Some major similarities:

- Grounding in the MDGs...
- ... but broader focus on human well-being and shared prosperity
- Recognition of new development challenges
- Universality
- Inter-linkages
- Narrative versus proposed goals and targets

Themes common across the proposals

All four proposals	Three out of four	Other recurring themes
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Poverty (inequality, hunger)• Education• Health• Growth and employment• Food security• Climate (energy)• Water (sanitation, natural resources)• Environmental sustainability (biodiversity, nat. res., ecosystems)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gender• Governance (also figures as enabling mechanism in some proposals)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cities• Infrastructure• Resilience• Peace and stability• SCP (integrated or crosscutting)

Cross-Cutting Issues

Inequality:

- None of the four proposals include a stand-alone goal on inequality - instead it is combined with poverty.
- Proposals address inequality in 3 primary ways:
 - Targets to measure income inequality;
 - Disaggregation of data to detect disparities;
 - Goals for human rights or governance to level the playing field.

Cross-Cutting Issues

Resilience:

- Resilience increasingly seen as key to poverty eradication that sticks.
- HLP includes it as part of goal to “end poverty.”
- SDSN addresses resilience separately in urban and rural contexts.
- ASEF report: Economic resilience

Cross-Cutting Issues

Sustainable consumption and production:

- All except the ASEF proposal do not include SCP by name.
- SDSN comes closest through a goal for “development within planetary boundaries.”
- Parts of SCP contained in targets for food loss and waste, reducing environmental impacts of production.

Some Key Questions Moving Forward

- *How to achieve a more integrated framework that links the economic, social and environmental dimensions of poverty eradication and sustainable development within and across goals?*
- *How should the post-2015 agenda address sustainable production and consumption?*
- *How should the post-2015 agenda address growing urbanization as a development driver?*

Some Key Questions Moving Forward

- *To what extent does achieving the post-2015 agenda require a true transformation in economic structures, and how can this be reflected in a goal framework?*
- *How should the post-2015 agenda address climate change as a development driver?*
- *How can the post-2015 agenda more effectively address the role of local actors, social entrepreneurs and the private sector?*

Thank you!

Contact:

olsen@iges.or.jp

Websites:

www.iges.or.jp

www.irf2015.org